

Midterm II (solutions)

①

② Aus:

Define

$$e = (B | AB | A^2 B | \dots | A^{n-1} B)$$

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\& \mathcal{H}_n = \theta \cdot e = \begin{pmatrix} CB & CAB & \dots & CA^{n-1}B \\ CAB & CA^2B & \dots & CA^n B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ CA^{n-1}B & CA^n B & \dots & CA^{2n-2}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Also let \mathcal{H} be the infinite Hankel matrix as defined in the question paper.

(I \Rightarrow II)

Assume that both E and Θ have rank n . Note that E is a $n \times nm$ matrix hence \exists a nonsingular ^{permutation} matrix P_1 :

~~$E = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & \dots & x & x & \dots & x & x \end{pmatrix} \Theta$~~

$$EP_1 = \begin{pmatrix} M & x & x & x & \dots & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where M is a $n \times n$ non-singular matrix.

Likewise since Θ is a $n \times n$ matrix

\exists a non-singular permutation matrix P_2 :

$$P_2 \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} N \\ x \\ x \\ \vdots \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$

where N is a $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix.

It follows that

(3)

$$P_2 \Theta e P_1 =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} NM & x & x & x & -x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \end{pmatrix}$$

The r.h.s. matrix has rank $\geq n$,

hence

$$\text{rank}(\Theta e) \geq n \quad \text{since } P_1 \text{ \& } P_2 \text{ are square and non-singular.}$$

However

$$\text{rank}(\Theta e) \leq \min[\text{rank } \Theta, \text{rank } e]$$

$$\leq n$$

because Θ is of size $n \times n$

e is of size $n \times nm$.

$$\therefore \text{rank}(\Theta e) = n. \Rightarrow \text{rank } Y_n = n.$$

By Cayley Hamilton's \mathcal{P}_H^m

(4)

$$\text{rank } H = \text{rank } \mathcal{J}_H^n = n.$$

(II \Rightarrow I)

Assume $\text{rank } H = n$.

It follows that $\text{rank } \mathcal{J}_H^n = n$. for
otherwise if $\text{rank } \mathcal{J}_H^n = n_1 < n$, by
Cayley Hamilton \mathcal{P}_H^m we have $\text{rank } H = n_1 < n$.
which will violate the assumption.

$\because \mathcal{J}_H^n = \mathcal{O} \cdot e$ and $\text{rank } \mathcal{J}_H^n = n$

we have

$$n = \text{rank}(\mathcal{O}, e) \leq \min[\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}), \text{rank}(e)]$$

$$\therefore \text{rank}(\mathcal{O}) \geq n, \text{rank}(e) \geq n.$$

Because of the sizes of \mathcal{O} and e

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}) \leq n \text{ \& \ } \text{rank}(e) \leq n$$

Hence $\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}) = n, \text{rank}(e) = n$.

5

3 Aus!

consider a new dynamical system.

$$\dot{x} = A^T x + C^T u$$

$$y = b^T x.$$

(*)

By assumption, (*) is not controllable but observable. It follows that

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} C^T & A^T C^T & A^{T^2} C^T & \dots & A^{T^{n_1-1}} C^T \end{bmatrix} = n_1 < n$$

Define

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} C^T & A^T C^T & \dots & A^{T^{n_1-1}} C^T & v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_{n-n_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where v_i 's are defined in such a way that

P_1 is non-singular.

It follows that

$$P_1^{-1} A^T P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \quad P_1^{-1} b = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b^T P_1 = (* \quad *)$$

~~**~~

By taking transposes in ~~**~~ we obtain.

$$P_1^T A ~~(P_1^{-1})^T~~ = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C(P_1^{-1})^T = (* \quad 0)$$

$$P_1^T b = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $P^{-1} = P_1^T$
 ie $P = (P_1^T)^{-1}$

The result follows.